This article was downloaded by: On: 23 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713617200

Linear Synthesis of The Methyl Glycosides of Tri-, Tetra-, and Pentasaccharide Fragments of The *Shigella Flexneri* Serotype 5A *O*-Antigen

Laurence A. Mulard; Joël Ughetto-Monfrin

To cite this Article Mulard, Laurence A. and Ughetto-Monfrin, Joël(2000) 'Linear Synthesis of The Methyl Glycosides of Tri-, Tetra-, and Pentasaccharide Fragments of The *Shigella Flexneri* Serotype 5A *O*-Antigen', Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry, 19: 4, 503 – 526

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/07328300008544096 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07328300008544096

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

LINEAR SYNTHESIS OF THE METHYL GLYCOSIDES OF TRI-, TETRA-, AND PENTASACCHARIDE FRAGMENTS OF THE Shigella flexneri SEROTYPE 5a O-ANTIGEN¹

Laurence A. Mulard* and Joël Ughetto-Monfrin

Unité de Chimie Organique, BGM, Institut Pasteur, 28 rue du Dr. Roux, 75724 Paris Cedex 15, France. E-mail: lmulard@pasteur.fr

Received November 1, 1999 - Final Form February 3, 2000

ABSTRACT

The stepwise synthesis of methyl α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ - α -L-rhamnopyranoside (EBC-OMe, 1), methyl α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ - α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -L-rhamnopyrano \rightarrow 2)-[α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)]- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (A(E)BC-OMe, 2), and methyl 2-acetamido-2-deoxy- β -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)-\alpha$ -Lrhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ - $[\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$]- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ - α -L-rhamnopyranoside (DA(E)BC-OMe, 3) is described. Compounds 1, 2 and 3 constitute the methyl glycosides of fragments of the O-specific polysaccharide of Shigella flexneri serotype 5a. Methyl 2,4-di-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)-2,4-di-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside was an appropriate BC precursor for the synthesis of 1. For the synthesis of the branched targets 2 and 3, a benzyl group was best suited at position 2 of rhamnose C. Thus, methyl 4-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -2,4-di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside was the key intermediate to the BC portion. In all cases, 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl fluoride was a convenient E precursor, when used in combination with titanium tetrafluoride. All along, attention was paid to steric hindrance as a factor of major impact on the condensation steps outcome. Therefore, based on previous experience, 2-O-acetyl-3,4-di-O-allyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl trichloroacetimidate and 3,4,6tri-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido- α -D-glucopyranosyl trichloroacetimidate were used as donors. Both suited all requirements when used as key precursors for residues A and **D** in the synthesis of **3**, respectively.

INTRODUCTION

A program to develop a possible substitute approach to the use of O-specific polysaccharide (O-SP):protein conjugates as human anti-bacterial vaccines is in progress on the model bacterium *Shigella flexneri* serotype 5a. Our approach focuses on the use of multivalent constructs involving immunogenic mimics, whether carbohydrate derived or not, of the antigenic polysaccharide. The design of potentially optimal constructs is supported by the investigation at the molecular level of the complementarity between the O-SP and homologous protective antibodies. Such an O-antigen:antibodies interaction study requires that ligands representative of the major structural features of the O-SP of Shigella flexneri serotype 5a be available in rather large amounts.

A B C D \rightarrow 2)- α -L-Rhap-(1 \rightarrow 2)- α -L-Rhap-(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -L-Rhap-(1 \rightarrow 3)- β -D-GlcNAcp-(1 \rightarrow (1 \uparrow 3) E α -D-Glcp I

A B C D \rightarrow 2)- α -L-Rhap-(1 \rightarrow 2)- α -L-Rhap-(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -L-Rhap-(1 \rightarrow 3)- β -D-GlcNAcp-(1 \rightarrow II

The repeating unit of the O-SP of S. flexneri serotype 5a is the branched pentasaccharide^{2,3} I, composed of α -linked L-rhamnoses, β -linked 2-acetamido-2-deoxy-D-glucose, and α -D-glucose branches. It comprises the linear tetrasaccharide II, which defines the repeating unit of Shigella flexneri variant Y O-SP.⁴⁻⁶ The latter has been studied in great detail in D. R. Bundle's group,^{7,8} while a lot of work on the S. flexneri serotype 5a O-antigen has been reported by N. K. Kochetkov's group.^{9,10}

 α -D-Glcp-(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -L-Rhap-(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -L-Rhap-OMe EBC-OMe 1

 α -L-Rhap-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[α -D-Glcp-(1 \rightarrow 3)]- α -L-Rhap-(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -L-Rhap-OMe A(E)BC-OMe 2

 β -D-GlcNAcp-(1 \rightarrow 2)- α -L-Rhap-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[α -D-Glcp-(1 \rightarrow 3)]- α -L-Rhap-(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -L-Rhap-OMe. DA(E)BC-OMe 3

In spite of the large amount of work reported previously in the literature, the preparation of the required synthetic haptens was undertaken.^{11,12} In this paper we describe

a revised synthesis of the known methyl glycosides of the linear trisaccharide EBC (1),¹⁰ and the branched tetrasaccharide A(E)BC (2).¹⁰ Besides, the synthesis of the previously unknown methyl glycoside of the branched pentasaccharide DA(E)BC (3) is also reported. As was the case for the precedent home-made ligands, the target compounds were synthesised as their methyl glycosides to allow binding studies in solution and structural analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A retrosynthetic analysis showed that, as opposed to the blockwise approach, a linear strategy was best suited for the preparation of targets 1, 2, and 3. In that respect, the general strategy to 1 and 2 resembles that described earlier.¹⁰ Anyhow, the choice of the appropriate heterofunctional monosaccharides to be combined, was based on previous experience gained in the laboratory.^{11,12} Consequently, synthons involved in the following preparations differ from those used by others.

Synthesis of trisaccharide EBC (1).

The glucosyl fluoride 4 has been used on several occasions as an efficient donor in the construction of 1,2-cis-a-D-glucopyranosyl linkages.^{13,14} Besides, earlier work in the laboratory¹¹ showed that, among others, donor 4 was a suitable precursor to residue E in the construction of the EB linkage. It was prepared, as described,¹⁵ in one step from the commercially available 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-D-glucopyranose and used in the syntheses described in the following. The easiest access to the target 1 we envisaged was using 2,4di-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -2,4-di-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (5) as a conveniently functionalised key precursor to the BC moiety. This known tetra-Obenzoyl rhamnobioside was prepared as described previously.¹⁶ Thus (Scheme 1), acceptor 5 was glycosylated with the fluoride donor 4 using anhydrous diethyl ether as the solvent and titanium tetrafluoride (TiF.) as the Lewis acid promoter¹⁷ in the presence of MS 4Å, to give the fully protected αE - (6) and βE - (7) trisaccharides as an 85:15 mixture (84%). Separation of the anomers at this stage resulted in pure 6 (60%) together with a slight amount of 7 (10%) and a 5:1 α/β mixture (14%). The anomeric identity of 6 and 7 was assessed based on the measurement of the ${}^{1}J_{C,H}$ coupling constants.^{18,19} Compound 6 had ¹J_{CH} equal to 167 Hz, 168 Hz, and 172 Hz, while the minor condensation product 7 had ¹J_{C,H} equal to 160 Hz, 175 Hz, and 171 Hz for residues E, B, and C, respectively. It should be noted that the α : β ratio obtained here (5.5:1) was slightly better than that obtained for the construction of the EB linkage using identical experimental conditions, at the disaccharide level (α/β , 3.7:1).¹¹ Similarly, the 5.5:1 α/β ratio obtained here was found



(a) TiF₄, MS 4Å, Et₂O; (b) H_2 , Pd/C; (c) MeONa, MeOH.

Scheme 1

acceptable when compared to the 3:1 α/β ratio reported previously in the construction of a closely related trisaccharide using 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl bromide as the donor.¹⁰ Next, compound 6 was fully deprotected by (i) hydrogenolysis using Pd-C as the catalyst to give the tetraol 8 (81%), and (ii) Zemplén transesterification which resulted in the target trisaccharide 1 (91%).

The known²⁰ allyl 4-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (9) has a permanent protecting group at position 4 and a temporary blocking group at the anomeric position. It is well suited as a potential rhamnosyl donor allowing subsequent chain extension at positions 2 and 3. Thus, prepared from L-rhamnose in four steps as described,²¹ diol 9 was chosen as the key precursor to residue B. Conventional acetylation of 9 gave the fully protected 10 (98%) (Scheme 2). The latter was selectively deallylated using palladium(II) chloride as the promoter to afford the hemiacetal 11 (86%) as a 4:1 α/β mixture, as seen on the ¹H NMR Synthesis of tetrasaccharide A(E)BC (2).





Scheme 2

spectrum. Treatment of **11** with trichloroacetonitrile in the presence of a catalytic amount of 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) resulted in the activated intermediate **12** (97%).

Chemoselective O-deacetylation of an O-benzoylated compound is a well-known process and several procedures have been described in the literature to perform such a transformation. Conditions previously recommended include mildly basic medium using Mg(OMe)₂ in methanol,²² DBU in methanol²³ or methanolic ammonia,²⁴ as well as acid catalysed methanolysis using methanolic hydrogen chloride in dichloromethane^{25,26} or HBF₄ in a mixture of diethyl ether and methanol.²⁷ Nevertheless, previous work in our laboratory (C. Costachel, unpublished results) showed that, in the *S. flexneri* series, optimisation of such a selective deprotection step was not an easy task. For that reason, the strategy described in the following to prepare target 2 was designed so as to avoid any chemoselective deacylation step. Consequently, the known methyl 2,4-di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside²⁸ (13), having non-interfering protecting groups at position 2 and 4, was chosen as the key precursor to residue C.

As a result (Scheme 3), acceptor 13 was glycosylated with the trichloroacetimidate donor 12 in anhydrous diethyl ether using TMSOTf as the catalyst to give the rhamnobioside 14 (70%), which was deacetylated under Zemplén conditions²⁹ into diol 15 (98%). Treatment of the latter with trimethyl orthoacetate under acid catalysis resulted in the 2,3-O-orthoacetate intermediate, which was opened regioselectively (80% aq AcOH) to give the corresponding axial acetate 16 quantitatively. Condensation of mono-hydroxylated 16 to donor 4 was achieved under the conditions described for the preparation of 6. As earlier,



(a)TMSOTF, Et_2O ; (b) 4, TiF_4 , MS 4Å, Et_2O ; (c) MeONa, MeOH; (d) i. MeC(OMe)₃, ii. AcOH 80%; (e) H₂, Pd/C.

Scheme 3

a mixture of αE - (17) and βE - (18) condensation products was obtained, again in an 85:15 ratio, from which pure 17 could not be isolated. Thus, transesterification (MeONa-MeOH) was performed on the mixture of 17 and 18. As observed previously,¹¹ the presence of the tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl moiety at position 3 of rhamnose **B** renders position 2 of the same residue so hindered that the deacetylation step required 72 h when sodium methoxide was used in stoichiometric amount. Again, the βE anomer 18 proved less hindered than its αE counterpart 17, resulting in a faster kinetics of deprotection of the former. Repeated chromatography of the deacetylated mixture resulted in the

isolation of the required α -anomer 19 (45%) together with a small amount of the β -anomer 20 (8.7%). The anomeric conformity of the condensation products was ascertained by measuring their ${}^{1}J_{C,H}$ coupling constants. Compound 19 had ${}^{1}J_{C,H}$ equal to 168 Hz, 170 Hz, and 168 Hz while compound 20 had ${}^{1}J_{C,H}$ equal to 160 Hz, 170 Hz, and 167 Hz, for residue E, B, and C, respectively. In this case, the resulting α/β ratio (5.2:1) was comparable to that obtained for the preparation of 6 and 7, but the yield was much lower. These data support the general idea that the outcome of α -D-glucosylation, as that of other glycosylation reactions, is case-specific. Other coupling conditions may be required in the future for the preparation of new sequences in the series.

In the previous report¹⁰ on the synthesis of the tetrasaccharide 2, the construction of the AB linkage was based on the condensation of methyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -Dglucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -(4-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -2,4-di-O-benzyl- α -Lrhamnopyranoside and acetobromorhamnose. Although the condensation was attempted in the presence of a large variety of promoters, it proved really sluggish. This outcome was attributed for the most part to the lack of reactivity of the bromide donor. In the following, condensation of the trisaccharide acceptor **19** and the easily accessible chain terminator³⁰ **21**, in association with a catalytic amount of TMSOTf promoter, proceeded satisfactorily in diethyl ether to give the fully protected tetrasaccharide **22** in 75% yield. Thus, the former drawback was partly overcome when using the trichloroacetimidate methodology. Zemplén deacetylation of **22** gave the triol **23** (98%), which was further hydrogenolyzed into the free tetrasaccharide **2** (77%).

Synthesis of pentasaccharide DA(E)BC (3).

Previous results¹¹ have shown that a suitable precursor to residue A in the synthesis of the target 3 should fulfil two requirements: (i) bear an orthogonal protecting group at position 2, which should act as a participating group, and (ii) have blocking groups of low bulk at positions 3 and 4. Previous work in this series has shown that the introduction of allyl protecting groups at these positions was a satisfactory answer to this second requirement. Thus, the known¹¹ 2-O-acetyl-3,4-di-O-allyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl trichloroacetimidate (24), allowing regioselective chain elongation at position 2, was chosen as an appropriate precursor to residue A. In a similar way, the choice of the key precursor to residue D was based on previous work from our laboratory. In fact, among several known glucosaminyl donors tested, the trichloroacetimidate precursor bearing an *N*-trichloroacetyl protecting group was found the best one in terms of accessibility, condensation yield and deprotection facilities.¹¹ Therefore, 3,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido- α -D-glucopyranosyl trichloroacetimidate,³¹ (27) was used in the following synthesis, as well.



(a) TMSOTf, Et₂O; (b) MeONa, MeOH; (c) i. Ir(I) cationic complex, THF; ii. HgO, HgBr₂, acetone/water; (d) i. H₂, Pd/C, AcOH/EtOH, ii. H₂, Pd/C, Et₃N, EtOH.

Scheme 4

Consequently (Scheme 4), the trisaccharide acceptor 19 was condensed to the trichloroacetimidate donor 24 in anhydrous diethyl ether, using TMSOTf as the promoter. Next, the resulting fully protected tetrasaccharide 25 (94%) was deacetylated smoothly under Zemplén conditions into the mono-hydroxylated 26 (98%). Glycosylation of the latter with the glucosaminyl trichloroacetimidate donor 27, performed in anhydrous acetonitrile in the presence of a catalytic amount of TMSOTf, proceeded satisfactorily to give the fully protected pentasaccharide 28 (81%), which was next deprotected sequentially. Deallylation of 28 was performed first, accordingly to the cationic iridium based two-step process, (i) isomerisation of the allyl group under catalysis with 1,5-

cyclooctadiene-bis(methyldiphenylphosphine)iridium hexafluorophosphate complex,³² and (ii) subsequent hydrolysis of the resulting prop-1-enyl ether.²⁰ The resulting diol **29** (79%) was *O*-deacylated under careful control (MeONa-MeOH) into the pentaol **30** (95%). Lastly, the intermediate **30** was fully deprotected into the target **3** (74%) via a two-step hydrogenolysis using Pd-C as the catalyst. First, removal of the benzyl groups was conducted in an acidic medium, and second, reduction of the trichoroacetyl group was completed in a slightly basic medium.

The reaction products were characterised by fully assigned ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. Assignment of the ¹H NMR spectra was made possible by analysis of the experimental subspectra generated when running selective TOCSY experiments³³ to identify sets of signals attributed to individual rings, followed by unambiguous identification of one of the signals for each residue in one particular compound. Next, the assignment of the ¹³C NMR spectra, the assignment of the ¹³C{¹H} NMR signals followed directly from the analysis of the ¹³C-¹H chemical shift correlated spectrum. As observed earlier for several synthetic intermediates used in the construction of the methyl glycosides of the DA(E)B and CDA(E)B fragments,^{11,12} signal distortion was apparent in the spectrum of some of the above described intermediates. Carbons associated to these distorted signals were C-3_C, C-4_B and C-1_B, but contrary to previous observations, in the DA(E)BC series, distortion was only minor. This was rather unexpected considering the close resemblance of the involved intermediates. Such an observation suggests that residue C has a strong favourable impact on the overall conformation of the oligosaccharides, whether protected or not, when involved in the BC linkage. Further NMR studies on several sequences representative of the O-SP of S. flexneri are in progress. They may help our understanding of the conformational behaviour of the polysaccharide.

EXPERIMENTAL

General Methods. General experimental methods not referred to in this section were as described previously.¹² TLC on precoated slides of Silica Gel 60 F_{254} (Merck) was performed with solvent mixtures of appropriately adjusted polarity consisting of A, dichloromethane-methanol; B, cyclohexane-ethyl acetate, C, cyclohexane-acetone, D, cyclohexane-diethyl ether, E, toluene-ethyl acetate, F, dichloromethane-diethyl ether, G, water-acetonitrile, H, *iso*-propyl alcohol-ammonia-water. Detection was effected when applicable, with UV light, and/or by charring with orcinol (35 mM) in 4N aq H₂SO₄. In the NMR spectra, of the two magnetically non-equivalent geminal protons at C-6, the one resonating at lower field is denoted H-6a and the one at higher field is denoted H-6b. Interchangeable assignments in the ¹³C NMR spectra are marked with an asterisk in listing of signal assignments. Sugar residues in oligosaccharides are serially lettered according to the lettering of the repeating unit of the O-SP and identified by a subscript in listing of signal assignments. Low-resolution mass spectra were obtained by either chemical ionisation (CIMS) using NH₃ as the ionising gas, by electrospray mass spectrometry (ESMS), or by fast atom bombardment mass spectrometry (FABMS).

Methyl (2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 3)-(2,4-di-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -2,4-di-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (6) and Methyl (2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-benzyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 3)-(2,4-di-O-benzoyl-α-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1→ 3)-2,4-di-O-benzoyl-α-L**rhamnopyranoside** (7). A solution of the tetra-O-benzoyl acceptor¹⁶ 5 (1.20 g, 1.62 mmol), the fluoride donor^{15,34} 4 (1.76 g, 3.25 mmol), and MS 4Å (4.0 g) in anhydrous Et₂O (25 mL) was stirred at 0 °C for 20 min. Titanium tetrafluoride (TiF₄, 1.83 g, 14.7 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 16 h at 0 °C. As no starting material could be detected (solvent E, 94:6), the reaction mixture was filtered over a bed of Celite. The organic phase was washed successively with satd aq NaHCO₃, water, and satd aq NaCl, then dried and concentrated to dryness. Column chromatography of the crude material (solvent E, 96:4) gave the β -anomer 7 (205 mg, 10%) as the first eluting product and the α -anomer 6 (1.22 g, 60%) as the slower moving product, together with a 5:1 α/β mixture (286 mg, 14%). Compound 6, isolated as a colourless foam, had $[\alpha]_D$ +94° (c 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ 8.23-6.89 (m, 40H, Ph), 5.61 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.9, J_{4,5} = 9.9 Hz, H-4_C), 5.52 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.6$, $J_{2,3} = 3.3$ Hz, H-2_C), 5.39 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.7$, $J_{4,5} = 9.7$ Hz, H-4_B), 5.25 (s, 1H, H-1_B), 5.14 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.8$, $J_{2,3} = 3.2$ Hz, H-2_B), 4.90 (d, 1H, H-1_C), 4.56 (d, 1H, J = 11.2 Hz, OCH₂), 4.51 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ = 3.3 Hz, H-1_E), 4.49 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 10.5$ Hz, H-3_C), 4.38-4.28 (m, 3H, 3 OCH₂), 4.22 (d, 1H, J = 11.2 Hz, OCH₂), 4.14-3.93 (m, 6H, 3 OCH₂, H-5_C, 3_B, 5_B), 3.55 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 8.7$ Hz, H-3_E), 3.48 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.41 (dd, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 10.0$ Hz, H-4_E), 3.38 (m, 1H, H-5_E), 3.15 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 9.6$ Hz, H-2_E), 3.08 (dd, 1H, $J_{5,6a} = 1.6$, $J_{6a,6b} = 10.9$ Hz, H-6a_E), 2.83 (d, 1H, H-6b_E), 1.38 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6_C), and 1.15 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR: δ 165.1, 166.0, 165.6, 165.4 (C=O), 133.4-125.2 (Ph), 99.4 (C-1_B, J_{C,H} = 168 Hz), 98.3 (C-1_C, J_{C,H} = 172 Hz), 95.8 (C-1_E, J_{C,H} = 167 Hz), 81.3 (C-3_E), 78.4 (C-2_E), 76.7 (C-4_E), 76.1 (C-3_C), 75.0, 74.2 (2C, 2 OCH₂), 73.2 (2C, OCH₂, C-3_B), 73.2 (C-4_C), 72.3 (2C, C-2_C, 4_B), 72.0 (OCH₂), 70.7 (C-5_E), 69.8 (C-2_B), 67.4 (C-6_E), 67.3 (C-5_B), 66.7 (C-5_C), 55.2 (OCH₃), 17.7 (C-6_C), and 17.4 (C-6_B); CIMS for C75H74O18 (M, 1262.5) m/z 1280.7 [M+NH4]+.

Anal. Calcd for C₆₈H₇₆O₁₄: C, 71.30; H, 5.90%. Found: C, 71.46; H, 6.02%.

Compound 7, isolated as a colourless foam, had $[\alpha]_D$ +84° (c 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ 8.45-6.87 (m, 40H, Ph), 5.65 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.8, J_{4,5} = 9.8 Hz, H-4_C), 5.57 (dd, 1H,

J_{1,2} = 1.6, J_{2,3} = 3.3 Hz, H-2_C), 5.51 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.8, J_{4,5} = 9.8 Hz, H-4_B), 5.26 (s, 2H, H-2_B, 1_B), 4.93 (s, 1H, H-1_C), 4.68-4.57 (m, 3H, OCH₂), 4.52-4.43 (m, 3H, H-3_C, 2 OCH₂), 4.30 (d, 1H, J = 10.5 Hz, OCH₂), 4.25 (m, 2H, OCH₂, H-3_B), 4.16 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 7.5 Hz, H-1_E), 4.10 (dq, 1H, H-5_C), 4.01 (m, 2H, OCH₂, H-5_B), 3.55 (dd, partially overlapped, 1H, H-4_E), 3.49 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.43 (dd, 1H, J_{5,6a} = 2.4, J_{6a,6b} = 11.5 Hz, H-6a_E), 3.29 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.1, J_{2,3} = 9.1 Hz, H-3_E), 3.19 (dd, 1H, H-2_E), 3.03 (d, 1H, H-6b_E), 2.64 (m, 1H, H-5_E), 1.39 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 6.2 Hz, H-6_C), and 1.10 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 6.2 Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR: δ 166.0, 165.9, 165.6, 165.4 (4C, C=O), 138.7-127.0 (Ph), 104.6 (C-1_E, J_{C,H} = 160 Hz), 99.0 (C-1_B, J_{C,H} = 175 Hz), 98.4 (C-1_C, J_{C,H} = 171 Hz), 84.1 (C-3_E), 81.4 (C-2_E), 76.9 (C-4_E), 76.4 (C-3_C), 75.8 (C-3_B), 75.3 (OCH₂), 74.7 (C-5_E), 74.6, 74.1, 73.2 (3C, 3 OCH₂), 73.0 (C-4_C), 72.6 (C-4_B), 72.3 (2C, C-2_B, 2_C), 68.1 (C-6_E), 67.6 (C-5_B), 66.5 (C-5_C), 55.3 (OCH₃), 17.8 (C-6_C), and 17.4 (C-6_B); CIMS for C₇₅H₇₄O₁₈ (M, 1262.5) *m*/z 1280.7 [M+NH₄]⁺.

Anal. Calcd for C₇₅H₇₄O₁₈: C, 71.30; H, 5.90%. Found: C, 71.46; H, 5.92%.

 α -D-Glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)-(2,4-di-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamno-Methyl pyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -2,4-di-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (8). A suspension of Pd-C catalyst (200 mg) in a 4:1 mixture of ethanol and acetic acid (10 mL) containing the fully protected 6 (280 mg, 0.22 mmol) was stirred for 72 h under a hydrogen atmosphere. The suspension was filtered on a bed of Celite, and volatiles were concentrated by repeated coevaporation with cyclohexane. To remove any residual trace of catalyst, the residue was purified on a short column of silica gel (solvent A, 97:3) to give the tetraol 8 (160 mg, 81%) as a colourless foam: $[\alpha]_D$ +137° (c 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ 8.24-7.19 (m, 20H, Ph), 5.57 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.8$, $J_{4,5} = 9.8$ Hz, H-4_C), 5.50 (bs, 1H, H-2_C), 5.20 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.8$, $J_{4,5} = 9.8$ Hz, H-4_B), 5.11 (s, 1H, H-1_B), 5.06 (bs, 1H, H-2_B), 4.90 (bs, 1H, H-1_C), 4.48 (bs, 1H, H-1_E), 4.38 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.2$, $J_{3,4} = 9.8$ Hz, H-3_C), 4.25 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.2$, $J_{3,4} = 9.8$ Hz, H-3_C), 4.25 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.2$, $J_{3,4} = 9.8$ Hz, H-3_C), 4.25 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.2$, $J_{3,4} = 9.8$ Hz, H-3_C), 4.25 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.2$, $J_{3,4} = 9.8$ Hz, H-3_C), 4.25 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.2$, $J_{3,4} = 9.8$ Hz, H-3_C), 4.25 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.2$, $J_{3,4} = 9.8$ Hz, H-3_C), 4.25 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.2$, $J_{3,4} = 9.8$ Hz, H-3_C), 4.25 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.2$, $J_{3,4} = 9.8$ Hz, H-3_C), 4.25 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.2$, $J_{3,4} = 9.8$ Hz, H-3_C), 4.25 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.2$, $J_{3,4} = 9.8$ Hz, H-3_C), 4.25 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.2$, $J_{3,4} = 9.8$ Hz, $J_{3,4} =$ $= 2.7, J_{3,4} = 9.8$ Hz, H-3_B); 4.08 (dq, 1H, H-5_C), 3.99 (dq, 1H, H-5_B), 3.46 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.42 (m, 2H, H-6a_E, 6b_E), 3.21 (m, 1H, H-5_E), 3.03 (m, 3H, H-2_E, 3_E, 4_E), 1.35 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6_C), and 1.05 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.1$ Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR: δ 166.2, 166.0, 165.7 (4C, 4 C=O), 133.6-128.5 (Ph), 99.0 (C-1_B), 98.3 (C-1_C), 94.8 $(C-1_E)$, 76.9 $(C-3_C)$, 74.2 $(C-3_E^*)$, 73.1 $(C-4_C)$, 72.2 $(C-5_E)$, 72.1 $(2C, C-2_C, 4_B)$, 71.9 (C-2_E*), 71.2 (C-3_B), 69.9 (C-4_E), 68.3 (C-2_B), 67.6 (C-5_B), 66.3 (C-5_C), 62.2 (C-6_E), 55.4 (OCH₃), 17.6 (C-6_C), and 17.3 (C-6_B); CIMS for C₄₇H₅₀O₁₈ (M, 902.3) m/z 920.5 [M+NH₄]+.

The compound could not be obtained solvent-free (containing 0.5 H_2O per mol according to C,H analysis) and was further characterised as deprotected compound 1.

Methyl α -D-Glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ - α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ - α -L-rhamnopyranoside (1). 1M methanolic sodium methoxide (200 μ L) was added to a

solution of 8 (270 mg, 0.3 mmol) in methanol (3 mL) and the solution was stirred at rt for 24 h. After neutralisation with Amberlite IR-120 (H⁺); filtration and evaporation of the solvent, the oily residue was solubilised in a mixture of water and dichloromethane and extracted with dichloromethane. The aq phase was lyophilised and the residue was purified by reverse phase chromatography (solvent *G*, gradient 100:0 \rightarrow 97:3) to give, after lyophilisation, the target trisaccharide 1 as an amorphous powder (133 mg, 91%); [α]_D +17° (*c* 0.9, methanol), lit.¹⁰ [α]_D +15° (*c* 1.1, methanol); ¹H NMR: δ 5.08 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 3.8 Hz, H-1_E), 5.06 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 1.6 Hz, H-1_B), 4.65 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 1.6 Hz, H-1_C), 4.25 (dd, 1H, H-2_B), 4.00 (dd, partially overlapped, 1H, J_{2,3} = 3.2 Hz, H-2_C), 3.97 (ddd, 1H, J_{5,6a} = 2.9, J_{5,6b} = 3.6 Hz, H-5_E), 3.90 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 3.1, J_{3,4} = 9.8 Hz, H-3_B), 3.83 (dq, partially overlapped, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.6, J_{5,6} = 6.2 Hz, H-5_B), 3.80-3.73 (m, 4H, H-3_C, 3_E, 6a_E, 6b_E), 3.69 (dq, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.6, J_{5,6} = 6.3 Hz, H-5_C), 3.57 (m, 2H, H-2_E, 4_B), 3.51 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.6 Hz, H-4_C), 3.45 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.6, J_{4,5} = 10.1 Hz, H-4_E), 3.39 (s, 3H, OCH₃), and 1.29 (d, 6H, H-6_B, 6_C). The ¹³C NMR spectrum was identical to that described.¹⁰ CIMS for C₁₉H₃₄O₁₄ (M, 486.2) *m*/z 504.3 [M+NH₄]⁺.

Allyl 2,3-Di-O-acetyl-4-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (10). Acetic anhydride (29 mL, 310 mmol) was added dropwise, at 0 °C, to a solution of diol^{20,21} 9 (30.75 g, 104.6 mmol) in pyridine (150 mL). The mixture was stirred overnight at rt. Methanol (30 mL) was added, and stirring was continued for 2 h. Solvents were evaporated and the residue, taken up in CH₂Cl₂, was washed successively with 5% aq HCl, water, 5% NaHCO₃, water, and satd aq NaCl. Chromatography of the crude mixture (solvent B, 80:20) gave di-O-acetylated 10 (38.26 g, 98%) as a colourless oil: $[\alpha]_D$ –51° (c 1.0); ¹H NMR: § 7.38-7.27 (m, 5H, Ph), 5.86 (m, 1H, CH=CH₂), 5.35 (dd, partially overlapped, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.4$, $J_{3,4} = 9.7$ Hz, H-3), 5.29 (m, 1H, CH=CH₂), 5.27 (dd, partially overlapped, 1H, H-2), 5.20 (m, 1H, CH=CH₂), 4.74 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 1.7 Hz, H-1), 4.70 (d, 1H, OCH₂), 4.16 (m, 1H, CH₂CH), 3.98 (m, 1H, CH₂CH), 3.87 (dq, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.5$ Hz, H-5), 3.51 (dd, 1H, H-4), 2.15 (s, 3H, C(=O)CH₃), 1.99 (s, 3H, C(=O)CH₃), and 1.35 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6); ¹³C NMR: δ 170.0, 169.8 (2C, C=O), 138.0-127.8 (Ph), 117.8 (CH=CH₂), 96.4 (C-1), 78.9 (C-4), 75.1 (OCH₂), 71.7 (C-3), 70.5 (C-2), 68.1 (OCH2), 67.7 (C-5), 21.8, 21.7 (2C, C(=O)CH3), and 17.7 (C-6); CIMS for C₂₀H₂₆O₇ (M, 378.2) m/z 396 [M+NH₄]+.

Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₂₆O₇: C, 63.48; H, 6.93%. Found: C, 63.31; H, 7.20%.

2,3-Di-O-acetyl-4-O-benzyl- α/β -L-rhamnopyranose (11). 59% Palladium (II) chloride (10.52 g, 33.2 mmol) was added to a mixture of 10 (10.24 g, 27.5 mmol) and sodium acetate (16.83 g, 123.7 mmol) in acetic acid (58 mL) containing water (6.5 mL). The mixture was stirred in the dark for 10 h at rt. Et₃N was added and solvents were evaporated. The residue, taken up in ethyl acetate, was washed 3 times with satd aq NaHCO₃, then with satd aq NaCl. Chromatography of the crude mixture (solvent *B*, 75:25 \rightarrow 60:40, containing 0.1% Et₃N) gave hemiacetal **11** (7.99 g, 86%) as a colourless foam. Compound **11** was isolated as a 4:1 α/β mixture as seen on the ¹H NMR spectrum. ¹H NMR: δ (α -anomer) 7.37-7.27 (m, 5H, Ph), 5.37 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 3.3, J_{3,4} = 9.8 Hz, H-3), 5.25 (dd, 1H, J_{1,2} = 1.8 Hz, H-2), 5.07 (dd, 1H, J_{1,OH} = 3.9 Hz, H-1), 4.70 (d, 1H, J = 10.7 Hz, OCH₂), 4.64 (d, 1H, OCH₂), 4.10 (d, overlapped, 1H, OH-1), 4.09 (dq, partially overlapped, 1H, H-5), 3.51 (dd, 1H, H-4), 2.19 (s, 3H, C(=O)CH₃), 1.97 (s, 3H, C(=O)CH₃), and 1.33 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 6.2 Hz, H-6); ¹³C NMR: δ (α -anomer) 170.2, 170.0 (2C, C=O), 138.0-127.7 (Ph), 92.1 (C-1), 78.7 (C-4), 75.0 (OCH₂), 71.4 (C-3), 70.7 (C-2), 67.8 (C-5), 20.8 (2C, C(=O)CH₃), and 17.8 (C-6); ¹³C-NMR: δ (β -anomer) 170.7, 163.6 (2C, C=O), 137.9-127.6 (Ph), 92.5 (C-1), 78.0 (C-4), 75.2 (OCH₂), 73.7 (C-5), 71.9 (C-3), 70.9 (C-2), 20.8 (2C, C(=O)CH₃), and 17.7 (C-6); CIMS for C₁₇H₂₂O₇ (M, 338.4) *m/z* 356 [M+NH₄]⁺.

Anal. Calcd for C17H22O7: C, 60.35; H, 6.55%. Found: C, 60.18; H, 6.55%.

2,3-Di-*O*-acetyl-4-*O*-benzyl-α-L-rhamnopyranosyl trichloroacetimidate (12). DBU (250 µL, 1.7 mmol) was added to a solution of hemiacetal **11** (3.09 g, 9.1 mmol) in a mixture of anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and trichloroacetonitrile (5 mL, 50 mmol), and the solution was stirred at rt, under an inert atmosphere, for 30 min. Volatiles were evaporated, and the residue was coevaporated twice with toluene. The crude mixture was flash-chromatographed (solvent *B*, 70:30, containing Et₃N 0.1%) to give **12** (4.85 g, 97%). Crystallisation of an analytical sample in a mixture of isopropyl ether and petroleum ether gave **12** as highly hygroscopic white needles (mp could not be measured); $[\alpha]_D$ -47° (*c* 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ 8.68 (s, 1H, NH), 7.37-7.17 (m, 5H, Ph), 6.17 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 2.0 Hz, H-1), 5.47 (dd, 1H, H-2), 5.39 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 3.4, J_{3,4} = 9.6 Hz, H-4), 4.74 (d, 1H, J = 11.1 Hz, OCH₂), 4.66 (d, 1H, OCH₂), 4.07 (dq, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.4 Hz, H-1_E), 3.61 (dd, 1H, H-4), 2.19 (s, 3H, (C=O)CH₃), 2.01 (s, 3H, (C(=O)CH₃), 1.39 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 6.2 Hz, H-6); ¹³C NMR: δ 169.7 (2C, 2 C=O), 160.1 (C=NH) 137.6-125.2 (Ph), 94.8 (C-1), 90.6 (CCl₃), 78.1 (C-4), 75.3 (CH₂), 71.3 (C-3), 70.7 (C-2), 68.7 (C-5), 20.7 (2C, 2 C=O)CH₃), and 17.9 (C-6).

Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₂₂Cl₃NO₇: C, 47.27; H, 4.59; N, 2.90%. Found: C, 47.90; H, 4.93; N, 3.07%.

Methyl (2,3-Di-O-acetyl-4-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 3)-2, 4-di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (14). A solution of the rhamnopyranoside acceptor³⁵ 13 (7.40 g, 20.67 mmol) and the trichloroacetimidate donor 12 (12.97 g, 26.87 mmol) in anhydrous Et₂O (100 mL) was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min. TMSOTf (280 µL, 0.07 eq) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 15 h while slowly warming up to rt. As no starting material could be detected (solvent F, 9:1), Et₃N (500 µL) was added and the solvent was evaporated. Chromatography of the crude mixture (solvent *C*, 89:11) gave the fully protected disaccharide 14 (9.84 g, 70%) as a colourless glass which crystallised on standing. A sample was recrystallised for analytical purpose; mp 77-78 °C (isopropyl etherpetroleum ether); $[\alpha]_D -53^\circ$ (*c* 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ7.44-7.22 (m, 15H, Ph), 5.43 (dd, partially overlapped, 1H, J_{2,3} = 3.4 Hz, H-3_B), 5.41 (bs, overlapped, 1H, H-2_B), 5.06 (bs, 1H, H-1_B), 4.82 (d, 1H, J = 11.1 Hz, OCH₂), 4.76 (d, 2H, OCH₂), 4.70 (d, 1H, J = 11.4 Hz, OCH₂), 4.67 (bs, 1H, H-1_C), 4.62 (d, 1H, J = 11.1 Hz, OCH₂), 4.06 (m, 1H, H-3_C), 3.88 (dq, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.4 Hz, H-5_B), 3.71 (dd, 1H, J_{1,2} = 1.8, J_{2,3} = 2.9 Hz, H-2_C), 3.66 (m, 2H, H-4_C, 5_C), 3.48 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.2 Hz, H-4_B), 3.32 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.06 (s, 3H, C(=O)CH₃), 1.99 (s, 3H, C(=O)CH₃), 1.32 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 5.6 Hz, H-6_C), and 1.27 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 6.2 Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR: δ169.8, 169.7 (2C, C=O), 138.3-127.6 (Ph), 99.2 (C-1_B), 98.6 (C-1_C), 80.9 (C-4_C), 78.8 (C-4_B), 77.9 (C-3_C), 77.6 (C-2_C), 75.3, 74.8, 72.8 (3C, 3 OCH₂), 71.7 (C-3_B), 70.4 (C-2_B), 68.2 (C-5_B), 68.0 (C-5_C), 54.7 (OCH₃), 20.9, 20.8 (2C, C(=O)CH₃), and 18.0 (2C, C-6_B, 6_C); ESMS of C_{38H46}O₁₁ (M, 678.3) *m*/z 679.3 [M+H]⁺.

Anal. Calcd for C₃₈H₄₆O₁₁: C, 67.24; H, 6.83%. Found: C, 67.14; H, 6.85%.

Methyl $(4-O-Benzyl-\alpha-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 3)-2,4-di-O-benzyl \alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranoside (15). 1N Methanolic sodium methoxide was added dropwise to a solution of the fully protected disaccharide 14 (8.56 g, 12.6 mmol) in a mixture of methanol and dichloromethane (9:1, 50 mL) until pH 10 was reached. The mixture was stirred at rt for 15 h, neutralised with resin IR 120 (H⁺), filtered, and concentrated. The crude material was column chromatographed (solvent C, 85:15) to give the diol 15 (7.35 g, 98%) as a colourless glass, $[\alpha]_D$ -44° (c 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ 7.41-7.23 (m, 15H, Ph), 5.08 (bs, 1H, H-1_B), 4.77-4.69 (m, 6H, 6 OCH₂), 4.66 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.6$ Hz, H-1_C), 4.04 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.2$, $J_{3,4} = 9.2$ Hz, H-3_C), 3.92 (m, partially overlapped, 1H, H-3_B), 4.89 (m, overlapped, 1H, H-2_B), 3.81 (dq, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.4$ Hz, H-5_B), 3.70 (dd, 1H, H-2_C), 3.64 (m, partially overlapped, 1H, H-5_C), 3.59 (dd, 1H, $J_{4.5} = 9.4$ Hz, H-4_C), 3.32 (m, 4H, H-4_B, OCH₃), 2.28 (d, 1H, $J_{OH,3} = 5.2$ Hz, OH-3_B), 2.06 (d, 1H, $J_{OH,2} = 3.7$ Hz, OH-2_B), 1.34 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 6.0$ Hz, H-6_C), and 1.28 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 6.3$ Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR: δ 128.6-127.7 (Ph), 101.2 (C-1_c), 98.6 (C-1_B), 81.6 (C-4_B), 81.3 (C-4_c), 77.9 (C-3_C), 77.8 (C-2_C), 75.3, 74.8, 72.7 (3C, 3 OCH₂), 71.5 (C-3_B*), 71.3 (C-2_B*), 68.0 (C-5_C), 67.8 (C-5_B), 54.7 (OCH₃) and 18.0 (2C, C-6_B, 6_C); ESMS of C₃₄H₄₂O₉ (M, 594.3) m/z 595.3 [M+H]+.

Anal. Calcd for C34H42O9: C, 68.67; H, 7.12%. Found: C, 68.52; H, 7.24%.

Methyl (2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 3)-(4-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 3)-2,4-di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosi-de (19) and Methyl (2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-benzyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 3)-(4-

O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -2,4-di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (20). pTsOH (40 mg, 0.2 mmol) was added to a solution of the diol 15 (6.36 g, 10.7 mmol) in a mixture of trimethyl orthoacetate (6.5 mL, 50.9 mmol) and anhydrous acetonitrile (25 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 1.5 h, after which TLC (solvent C, 6:1) showed that no starting material remained. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and 80% aq acetic acid was added. Stirring was continued for 1.25 h at this temperature. Water (330 mL) was added, and the aq phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂. Concentration of the organic phase gave the crude monoacetate 16, isolated as a colourless oil, in quantitative yield. Compound 16 had ¹H NMR: δ 7.43-7.24 (m, 15H, Ph), 5.26 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.6$ Hz, H-2_B), 5.07 (d, 1H, H-1_B), 4.84 (d, 1H, J = 11.3 Hz, OCH₂), 4.82 (d, 1H, J = 11.0 Hz, OCH₂), 4.72 (bs, 2H, OCH₂), 4.69 (d, 1H, J = 9.0 Hz, OCH_2), 4.66 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.6$ Hz, H-1_C), 4.62 (d, 1H, J = 11.1 Hz, OCH_2), 4.14 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.5$, $J_{3,4} = 9.4$ Hz, H-3_B), 4.05 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.1$, $J_{4,5} = 8.9$ Hz, H-3_C), 3.83 (dd, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.4 Hz, H-5_B), 3.69 (dd, 1H, H-2_C), 3.66 (m, partially overlapped, 1H, H-5_C), 3.64 (dd, 1H, $J_{4.5} = 9.3$ Hz, H-4_C), 3.35 (dd, 1H, H-4_B), 3.31 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.09 (s, 3H, C(=O)CH₃), 1.30 (d, 3H, J_{5.6} = 5.7 Hz, H-6_C), and 1.29 (d, 3H, J_{5.6} = 6.2 Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR: δ 170.7 (C=O), 138.3-127.6 (Ph), 99.1 (C-1_B), 98.5 (C-1_C), 81.5 (C-4_B), 81.0 (C-4_C), 77.7 (C-2_C*), 77.6 (C-3_C*), 75.2, 75.0 (2C, 2 OCH₂), 72.7 (2C, C-2_B, OCH₂), 70.33 (C-3_B), 68.0 (2C, C-5_B, 5_C), 54.7 (OCH₃), 20.6 (C(=O)CH₃), and 17.9 (2C, C-6_B, 6_C).

A solution of the crude disaccharide 16 (from 15, 6.36 g, 10.7 mmol), donor 5 (8.8 g, 16.2 mmol), and MS 4Å (20 g) in anhydrous Et₂O (250 mL) was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min. TiF₄ (6.63 g, 53.5 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 15 h while slowly warming up to rt. As hardly any starting material could be detected (solvent B, 3.2:1), the reaction mixture was filtered over a bed of Celite and worked up as described for the preparation of trisaccharides 6 and 7. Chromatography of the crude material (solvent C, 88:12) gave a mixture (16.5 g) of, among others, the fully protected condensation products 17 and 18, which could not be separated at this stage. The residue was then solubilized in a methanol:CH₂Cl₂ (1:1, 160 mL) solution, and methanolic 1N sodium methoxide (66 mL) was added at rt. Stirring was continued for 72 h, after which TLC (solvent D, 96:4; solvent B, 3:1) showed that no starting material remained. Neutralisation with resin IR 120 (H⁺) was followed by filtration and concentration to dryness of the organic phase. Repeated column chromatography of the residue (solvent E, gradient; solvent B, gradient) gave the α -anomer 19 (5.37 g, 45% from 15) as the first eluting product and the β -anomer 20 (950 mg, 8% from 15) as the slower moving product, together with a 1:1 α/β mixture (225 mg, 1.8% from 15).

Compound 19, isolated as a colourless glass, had $[\alpha]_D$ +22° (c 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ 7.40-7.13 (m, 35H, Ph), 5.31 (bs, 1H, H-1_B), 4.97-4.45 (m, 13H, OCH₂), 4.90 (d,

partially overlapped, 1H, H-1_E), 4.65 (bs, 1H, partially overlapped, H-1_C), 4.26 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz, OCH₂), 4.15-4.02 (m, 4H, H-3_C, 3_B, 2_B, 3_E), 3.94 (m, 1H, H-5_E), 3.84 (dq, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.6, J_{5,6} = 6.0 Hz, H-5_B), 3.73 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.3, J_{4,5} = 9.3 Hz, H-4_E), 3.70 (bd, 1H, H-2_C), 3.66 (m, partially overlapped, 1H, H-5_C), 3.60 (dd, partially overlapped, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.1 Hz, H-4_C), 3.58 (dd, 1H, J_{1,2} = 3.3, J_{2,3} = 9.7 Hz, H-2_E), 3.51 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.3 Hz, H-4_B), 3.43 (dd, 1H, J_{5,6a} = 2.4, J_{6a,6b} = 11.0 Hz, H-6a_E), 3.32 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.30 (m, partially overlapped, 1H, H-6b_E), 1.33 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 5.8 Hz, H-6_C), and 1.31 (d, 3H, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR: δ 138.6-127.5 (Ph), 101.0 (C-1_B, J_{C,H} = 170 Hz), 98.5 (C-1_C, J_{C,H} = 168 Hz), 93.8 (C-1_E, J_{C,H} = 168 Hz), 82.4 (C-3_E), 81.0 (C-4_C), 79.3 (C-4_B), 78.9 (C-2_E), 77.8 (C-3_B*), 77.7 (C-4_E), 77.5 (C-2_C), 76.4 (C-3_C*), 75.6, 75.4, 74.9, 74.2, 73.4, 72.5 (7C, 7 OCH₂), 70.7 (C-5_E), 68.0 (C-5_C), 67.9 (C-6_E), 67.7 (C-5_B), 67.6 (C-2_B), 54.7 (OCH₃), and 18.1 (2C, C-6_B, 6_C); ESMS for C₆₈H₇₆O₁₄ (M, 1116.5) *m*/z 1117.5 [M+H]⁺.

Anal. Calcd for C₆₈H₇₆O₁₄: C, 73.10; H, 6.86%. Found: C, 73.15; H, 7.00%.

Compound **20**, isolated as a colourless glass, had $[\alpha]_D -8^\circ$ (c 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ 7.42-7.13 (m, 35H, Ph), 5.20 (bs, 1H, H-1_B), 4.97-4.33 (m, 14H, 14 OCH₂), 4.75 (bs, overlapped, 1H, H-1_C), 4.68 (d, overlapped, 1H, H-1_E), 4.17 (bd, 1H, H-2_B), 4.15 (m, partially overlapped, 1H, H-3_B), 4.07 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.2, J_{2,3} = 3.1 Hz, H-3_C), 3.89 (dq, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.4, J_{5,6} = 6.2 Hz, H-5_B), 3.75 (dd, 1H, J_{1,2} = 1.8, J_{2,3} = 3.0 Hz, H-2_C), 3.66 (dq, partially overlapped, H-5_C), 3.64-3.51 (m, 7H, H-4_E, 3_E, 4_C, 4_B, 2_E, 6a_E, 6b_E), 3.32 (m, 4H, H-5_E, OCH₃), 3.25 (d, 1H, OH-2_B), 1.31 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 6.2 Hz, H-6_C), and 1.26 (d, 3H, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR: δ 138.3-127.2 (Ph), 102.6 (C-1_E, J_{C,H} = 159 Hz), 101.4 (C-1_B, J_{C,H} = 170 Hz), 98.5 (C-1_C, J_{C,H} = 167 Hz), 84.7 (C-3_E*), 92.0 (C-2_E), 80.9 (C-3_B), 80.8 (C-4_B), 80.1 (C-4_C), 78.3 (C-3_C), 77.8 (C-2_C), 77.4 (C-4_E*), 75.6, 75.2, 74.9, 74.8, 74.7 (5C, OCH₂), 74.4 (C-5_E), 73.5, 73.3 (2C, OCH₂), 70.2 (C-2_B), 68.5 (C-6_E), 68.0 (C-5_B), 67.9 (C-5_C), 54.7 (OCH₃), 18.0 (2C, C-6_B, 6_C), ESMS for C₆₈H₇₆O₁₄ (M, 1116.5) *m*/z 1117.6 [M+H]⁺.

Anal. Calcd for C₆₈H₇₆O₁₄: C, 73.10; H, 6.86%. Found: C, 73.10; H, 6.94%.

Methyl $(2,3,4-Tri-O-acetyl-\alpha-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 2)-[(2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-\alpha-D-glucopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 3)]-(4-O-benzyl-\alpha-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 3)-2,4-di-O-benzyl-\alpha-L-rhamnopyranoside (22). A mixture of trisac$ charide 19 (1.85 g, 1.66 mmol) and trichloroacetimidate³⁰ 21 (1.44 g, 3.32 mmol) wasstirred under an inert atmosphere, for 15 min in anhydrous Et₂O (15 mL) at -78 °C. $TMSOTF (32 <math>\mu$ L, 0.1 eq) was added, and the mixture was stirred overnight, slowly warming up to rt. As no starting material remained (solvent F, 95:5), Et₃N (100 μ L) was added, and volatiles were evaporated. Column chromatography of the residue (solvent F, 98:2) afforded the fully protected tetrasaccharide 22 (1.72 g, 75%). Compound 22,

isolated as a colourless foam, had $[\alpha]_D - 17^\circ$ (c 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ 7.40-7.04 (m, 35H, Ph), 5.56 (m, 2H, H- 2_A , 1_A), 5.36 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.2$, $J_{3,4} = 10.1$ Hz, H- 3_A), 5.11 (bs, 1H, $H-1_B$, 5.05 (dd, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.9$ Hz, $H-4_A$), 4.86 (m, 1H, $H-1_E$), 4.69 (bs, 1H, $H-1_C$), 5.11-4.62 (m, 9H, OCH₂), 4.53 (d, 1H, J = 11.3 Hz, OCH₂), 4.52 (d, 1H, J = 12.2 Hz, OCH_2), 4.41 (d, 1H, J = 11.9 Hz, OCH_2), 4.35 (d, 1H, J = 11.0 Hz, OCH_2), 4.18 (m, partially overlapped, 1H, H-3_B), 4.16 (bs, 1H, H-2_B), 4.11 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz, OCH₂), 4.06 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.0$, $J_{3,4} = 9.3$ Hz, H-3_C), 4.00-3.92 (m, 3H, H-5_A, 5_E, 3_E), 3.88 $(dq, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.3, H-5_B), 3.73$ (bd, 1H, H-2_C), 3.69-3.62 (m, 3H, H-4_E, 5_C, 4_B), 3.57 (dd, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.4$ Hz, H-4_C), 3.48 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 3.3$, $J_{2,3} = 9.8$ Hz, H-2_E), 3.32 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.26 (dd, 1H, $J_{6a,6b} = 11.0$ Hz, H-6a_E), 3.16 (dd, 1H, H-6b_E), 2.06, 1.98, 1.85 (3s, 9H, 3 C(=O)CH₃), 1.36 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6_B), 1.25 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 6.0$ Hz, H-6_C), and 1.20 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6_A); ¹³C NMR: δ 170.1, 170.0, 169.7 (3C, C=O), 138.9-127.3 (Ph), 101.1 (C-1_B, $J_{C,H} = 171$ Hz), 98.4 (C-1_C, $J_{C,H} = 172$ Hz), 98.3 (C-1_A, J_{C,H} = 172 Hz), 95.6 (C-1_E, J_{C,H} = 168 Hz), 82.0 (C-3_E), 80.4 (C-4_C), 79.5 (C-4_B), 79.2 (C-3_C), 78.9 (C-2_E), 77.8 (C-2_C), 77.7 (C-4_E), 76.6 (C-3_B), 75.5, 75.3, 75.2, 74.8 (4C, 4 OCH₂), 74.2 (C-2_B), 73.5, 73.3, 72.5 (3C, 3 OCH₂), 71.2 (C- (4_A) , 70.4 (C-5_E), 69.4 (C-5_B), 69.3 (2C, C-3_A, 2_A), 68.0 (C-5_C), 67.8 (C-6_E), 66.8 (C-6), 66.8 (C-6), 66.8 (C 5_A), 54.7 (OCH₃), 20.9, 20.8, 20.7 (3C, C(=O)CH₃), 18.0 (C-6_B), 17.9 (C-6_C), and 17.5 (C-6_A); ESMS for C₈₀H₉₂O₂₁ (M, 1388.6) m/z 1389.8 [M+H]+.

Anal. Calcd for C₈₀H₈₂O₂₁: C, 69.15; H, 6.67%. Found: C, 69.10; H, 6.83%.

Methyl α -L-Rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ -[(2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -Dglucopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$]-(4 - 0-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -2,4-di-O-benzyl-a-L-rhamnopyranoside (23). 1N Methanolic sodium methoxide was added dropwise to a solution of triacetate 22 (1.67 g, 1.20 mmol) in a 1:1 mixture of dichloromethane and methanol until pH 10 was reached, and the reaction was stirred for 7 h at rt. After neutralisation with Amberlite IR-120 (H⁺) and evaporation of the volatiles, the crude mixture was chromatographed from a column of silica gel (solvent A, 98:2) to give triol 23 (1.52 g, 98%). Compound 23, isolated as a colourless foam, had $[\alpha]_D$ -9° (c 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ 7.38-7.07 (m, 35H, Ph), 5.14 (bs, 1H, H-1_B), 5.10 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 4.94 (m, overlapped, 1H, H-1_E), 4.65 (bs, 1H, H-1_C), 4.98-4.53 (m, 11H, OCH₂), 4.43 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz, OCH₂), 4.41 (d, 1H, J = 10.9 Hz, OCH₂), 4.18 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3}$ = 2.3 Hz, H- 3_B), 4.14 (d, 1H, J = 12.2 Hz, OCH₂), 4.06-3.99 (m, 5H, H- 3_E , 2_B , 5_E , 3_C , 2_A), 3.86 (m, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.2$ Hz, H-5_B), 3.77-3.68 (m, 4H, H-5_A, 4_E, 3_A, 2_C), 3.65 (m, 1H, partially overlapped, $J_{4,5} = 9.4$ Hz, H-5_C), 3.60-3.54 (m, 2H, H-4_C, 2_E), 3.50 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.5$ Hz, H-4_B), 3.36 (dd, 1H, partially overlapped, $J_{5,6a} = 2.3$ Hz, H-6a_E), 3.35 (m, overlapped, 1H, H-4_A), 3.31 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.24 (d, 1H, $J_{6a,6b} = 10.5$ Hz, H-6b_E), 1.32 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.1$ Hz, H-6_B), 1.24 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.0$ Hz, H-6_A), and 1.21 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6_C); ¹³C NMR: δ 138.6-127.5 (Ph), 101.4 (C-1_A), 101.0 (C-1_B), 98.4 (C-1_C), 94.6 (C-1_E), 82.1 (C-3_E), 80.4 (C-4_C), 79.9 (C-4_B), 79.4 (C-2_E), 79.1 (C-3_C), 77.9 (C-4_E), 77.7 (C-2_C), 75.6 (C-3_B), 75.5, 75.2 (3C, 3 OCH₂), 75.0 (C-2_B), 74.9, 73.9 (2C, 2 OCH₂), 73.6 (C-4_A), 73.3, 72.6 (2C, 2 OCH₂), 71.6 (C-3_A), 70.7 (C-2_A), 70.3 (C-5_E), 68.9 (C-5_B), 68.4 (C-5_A), 68.0 (C-5_C), 67.9 (C-6_E), 54.7 (OCH₃), 19.2 (C-6_B), 18.0 (C-6_C), and 17.6 (C-6_A); ESMS for C₇₄H₈₆O₁₈ (M, 1262.6) *m*/*z* 1263.6 [M+H]⁺.

Anal. Calcd for C74H86O18: C, 70.35; H, 6.86%. Found: C, 70.24; H, 6.88%.

Methyl α -L-Rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ - $[\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$]- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ - α -L-rhamnopyranoside (2). A suspension of 10% Pd-C catalyst (500 mg) in a 4:1 mixture of methanol and acetic acid (30 mL) containing the triol 23 (1.26 g, 0.99 mmol) was stirred at rt for 72 h under a hydrogen atmosphere. The suspension was filtered on a bed of Celite and the filtrate was concentrated by repeated coevaporation with methanol and cyclohexane. Only one product could be detected (solvent H, 7:1:2) and the suspension was filtered on a bed of Celite. Volatiles were evaporated and the residue was purified by reverse-phase chromatography (solvent G, gradient) to give the target tetrasaccharide 2 (486 mg, 77%) after lyophilisation. Compound 2, isolated as an amorphous solid, had $[\alpha]_D - 12^\circ$ (c 1.0, methanol), lit.¹⁰ $[\alpha]_D - 11^\circ$ (c 1.0, methanol), lit.¹⁰ $[\alpha]_D$ –5° (c 0.8, water); ¹H NMR (D₂O): δ 5.18 (bs, 1H, H-1_B), 5.09 (bs, overlapped, 1H, H-1_A), 5.08 (d, partially overlapped, 1H, H-1_E), 4.65 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.4$ Hz, H-1_C), 4.26 (dd, 1H, H-2_B), 4.04 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.6$, $J_{2,3} = 3.3$ Hz, H-2_A), 4.00-3.96 (m, 2H, H-3_B, 2_C), 3.92 (m, 1H, $J_{5,6} = 2.6$, $J_{4,5} = 10.1$ Hz, H-5_E), 3.82 (m, 1H, H-5_B), 3.78-3.71 (m, 5H, H-6a_E, 6b_E, 3_A, 3_C, 3_E), 3.69 (m, partially overlapped, 1H, H-5_C), 3.65 (m, partially overlapped, 1H, H-5_A), 3.62 (m, 2H, H-4_B, 2_E), 3.53 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.6$, $J_{4,5} = 9.6$ Hz, H-4_C), 3.41 (m, 2H, H-4_A, 4_E), 3.39 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 1.31 (d, partially overlapped, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 5.5$ Hz, H-6_B), 1.29 (d, partially overlapped, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 5.7$ Hz, H-6_C), and 1.24 (d, 3H, J_{5.6} = 6.2 Hz, H-6_A); ¹³C NMR¹⁰ (D₂O): δ 102.5 (C-1_A, J_{C,H} = 171 Hz), 101.6 (C-1_B, J_{C,H} = 170 Hz), 101.5 (C-1_C, J_{C,H} = 170 Hz), 96.0 (C-1_E, J_{C,H} = 168 Hz), 78.0 (C-3_C), 75.4 (C-3_B), 74.2 (C-2_B), 73.6 (C-3_E), 72.8 (C-4_A), 72.5 (C-4_C), 72.4 (C-5_E), 71.8 (C-2_E), 71.2 (C-4_B), 70.8 (2C, C-3_A, 2_A), 70.6 (C-2_C), 70.2 (C-4_E), 70.0 (C-5_B), 69.9 (C-5_A), 69.2 (C-5_C), 61.1 (C-6_E), 55.5 (OCH₃), 17.5 (C-6_B), 17.4 (C-6A*), and 17.3 (C-6C*); FABMS for C25H44O18 (M, 632.2) m/z 633.5 [M+H]*, 655.5 [M+Na]⁺, 671.4 [M+K]⁺.

The compound (containing 2.5 H_2O per mol according to C,H analysis) could not be obtained solvent-free.

Methyl (2-O-Acetyl-3,4-di-O-allyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[(2, 3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 3)]-(4-O-benzyl- α -L-rham-

nopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -2,4-di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (25). TMSOTf $(35 \,\mu\text{L}, 0.08 \text{ eq})$ was added, at -78 °C, to a solution of acceptor 19 (2.40 g, 2.15 mmol) and trichloroacetimidate¹¹ 24 (1.85 g, 4.3 mmol) in anhydrous Et₂O (100 mL), and the mixture was processed as described for the preparation of 22. After 24 h, the acidic medium was neutralised by addition of Et₃N (100 μ L), and volatiles were evaporated. Chromatography of the residue (solvent B, $88:12 \rightarrow 86:14$) gave 25 (2.81 g, 94%) as a colourless foam. Compound 25 had $[\alpha]_D$ -13° (c 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ 7.39-7.03 (m, 35H, Ph), 6.00-5.85 (m, 2H, CH=CH₂), 5.51 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 5.47 (dd, 1H, J_{2 3} = 3.2 Hz, H- 2_{A}), 5.29 (m, 2H, CH=CH₂), 5.20 (m, 2H, CH=CH₂), 5.51 (bs, 1H, H-1_B), 4.90 (d, partially overlapped, 1H, H-1_E), 4.67 (bs, 1H, H-1_C), 4.95-4.63 (m, 9H, OCH₂), 4.42 (d, 1H, J = 12.3 Hz, OCH₂), 4.51 (d, 1H, J = 11.4 Hz, OCH₂), 4.43 (d, 1H, J = 12.1 Hz, OCH₂), 4.37 (d, 1H, J = 10.9 Hz, OCH₂), 4.36 (d, 1H, OCH₂), 4.21-3.97 (m, 9H, $H-3_{C}$, 3_{B} , 2_{B} , 3_{E} , 5_{E} , 4 OCH₂), 3.89 (dq, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.9$, $J_{5,6} = 6.3$ Hz, $H-5_{B}$), 3.82 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.2$, $J_{3,4} = 9.3$ Hz, H-3_A), 3.77 (dq, 1H, H-5_A), 3.73 (bd, 1H, H-2_C), 3.69-3.51 (m, 4H, H-4_E, 5_C, 4_B, 4_C), 3.51 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 3.3$, $J_{2,3} = 9.8$ Hz, H-2_E), 3.32 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.30 (bd, 1H, H-6a_E), 3.23 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.5$, $J_{4,5} = 9.5$ Hz, H- 4_{A}), 3.18 (bd, 1H, H-6b_E), 1.31 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6_B), 1.22 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 6.0$ Hz, H-6_A*), and 1.20 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.1$ Hz, H-6_C*); ¹³C NMR: δ 169.8 (C=O), 139.1-127.2 (Ph), 116.9-116.5 (CH=CH₂), 101.1 (C-1_B, $J_{C,H}$ = 172 Hz), 98.4 (C-1_C, $J_{C,H}$ = 165 Hz), 98.2 (C-1_A, $J_{C,H}$ = 172 Hz), 95.2 (C-1_E, $J_{C,H}$ = 169 Hz), 82.0 (C-3_E), 80.2 (C-4_C), 80.0 (C-4_A), 79.6 (bs, C-4_B), 79.4 (bs, C-3_C), 79.0 (C-2_E), 77.9 (C-2_C), 77.7 (C-4_E), 77.2 (C-3_A), 76.5 (C-3_B), 75.4, 75.3, 74.8, 74.2, 73.3 (7C, 7 OCH₂), 73.0 (C-2_B), 72.5, 70.7 (2C, OCH₂), 70.4 (C-5_E), 69.2 (C-5_B), 69.0 (C-2_A), 68.2 (C-5_A), 68.0 (C-5_C), 67.9 (C-6_E), 54.7 (OCH₃), 20.9 (C(=O)CH₃), and 18.2, 18.1, 17.9 (3C, C-6_A, 6_B, 6c); ESMS for C82H96O19 (M, 1384.6) m/z 1385.6 [M+H]+.

Anal. Calcd for C82H96O19: C, 71.08; H, 6.98%. Found: C, 70.97; H, 7.17%.

Methyl $(3,4-\text{Di-}O\text{-allyl-}\alpha\text{-L-rhamnopyranosyl})$ - $(1\rightarrow 2)$ - $[(2,3,4,6\text{-tetra-}O\text{-benzyl-}\alpha\text{-D-glucopyranosyl})$ - $(1\rightarrow 3)$]- $(4-O\text{-benzyl-}\alpha\text{-L-rhamnopyranosyl})$ - $(1\rightarrow 3)$ - $2,4\text{-di-}O\text{-benzyl-}\alpha\text{-L-rhamnopyranoside}$ (26). A solution of compound 25 (2.66 g, 1.92 mmol) in a 1:1 dichloromethane:methanol mixture was processed as described for the preparation of 23. The residue was eluted from a column of silica gel (solvent *B*, 85:15) to give mono-hydroxylated 26 (3.03 g, 98%) as a highly hygroscopic amorphous solid: $[\alpha]_D$ -4° (*c* 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ 7.38-7.06 (m, 35H, Ph), 6.00-5.87 (m, 2H, CH=CH₂), 5.34-5.14 (m, 6H, CH=CH₂, H-1_A, 1_B), 4.97 (d, 1H, J = 11.0 Hz, OCH₂), 4.91 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 3.4 Hz, H-1_E), 4.90-4.78 (m, 4H, 4 OCH₂), 4.72-4.66 (m, 4H, 4 OCH₂), 4.63 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 1.4 Hz, H-1_C), 4.57 (d, 1H, J = 10.5 Hz, OCH₂), 4.51 (d, 1H, J = 11.4 Hz, OCH₂), 4.36-4.21 (m, 3H, 3 OCH₂), 4.20-3.98 (m, 10H, H-

3_B, 2_A, 3_E, 2_B, 3_C, 5_E, 4 OCH₂), 3.85 (dq, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.4, J_{5,6} = 6.0 Hz, H-5_B), 3.79 (dq, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.1, J_{5,6} = 6.0 Hz, H-5_A), 3.73-3.52 (m, 6H, H-4_E, 2_C, 3_A, 5_C, 2_E, 4_C), 3.49 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.5 Hz, H-4_B), 3.40 (dd, 1H, H-6a_E), 3.30 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.28 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.6 Hz, H-4_A), 3.23 (d, 1H, J_{6a,6b} = 12.8 Hz, H-6b_E), 2.13 (d, 1H, J_{OH,2} = 2.3 Hz, OH-2), 1.30 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 6.1 Hz, H-6_B), and 1.20 (d, 6H, H-6_A, 6_C); ¹³C NMR: δ 135.1-127.4 (Ph), 116.9, 116.8 (CH=CH₂), 101.2 (bs, C-1_B), 101.0 (C-1_C), 98.5 (C-1_A), 94.7 (C-1_E), 82.3 (C-3_E), 80.5 (C-4_C*), 79.9 (2C, C-4_B, 4_A), 79.1 (bs, C-3_C), 79.0 (C-3_A), 78.7 (C-2_E *), 77.8 (C-4_E), 77.7 (C-2_C), 75.6, 75.5, 75.3 (3C, 3 OCH₂), 75.1 (2C, C-2_B, 2_A), 74.9, 74.1, 73.9, 73.3, 72.5, 71.1 (6C, 6 OCH₂), 70.3 (C-5_E), 68.9 (C-3_B), 68.7 (C-5_B), 68.0 (2C, C-5_C, 5_A), 67.9 (C-6_E), 54.6 (OCH₃), 18.2 (C-6_B), and 17.8 (2C, C-6_A, 6_C); ESMS for C₈₀H₉₄O₁₈ (M, 1342.6) *m/z* 1343.8 [M+H]⁺, 1355.6 [M+Na]⁺.

Anal. Calcd for C₈₀H₉₄O₁₈: C, 71.51; H, 7.05%. Found: C, 71.45; H, 7.25%.

Methyl (3,4,6-Tri-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido-β-D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ -(3,4-di-O-allyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ -[(2,3,4,6)tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 3)$]-(4-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -2,4-di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (28). A solution of tetrasaccharide 26 (2.39 g, 1.78 mmol) and the glucosaminyl donor³¹ 27 (1.93 g, 3.27 mmol) in anhydrous acetonitrile was cooled to -25 °C. TMSOTf (37 µL) was added, and the mixture was stirred overnight while the cooling bath was slowly coming back to rt. As only very little starting remained (TLC, solvent B, 76:24), Et₃N (150 µL) was added, and volatiles were evaporated. Column chromatography of the residue (solvent B, 80:20 \rightarrow 75:25) resulted in the fully protected pentasaccharide 28 (2.56 g, 81%): glassy solid, $[\alpha]_D - 11^\circ$ (c 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ 7.36-7.06 (m, 35H, Ph), 6.78 (d, 1H, J_{NH2} = 8.5 Hz, NH), 6.03-5.85 (m, 2H, CH=CH₂), 5.37-5.08 (m, 7H, 4 CH=CH₂, H-1_A, 1_B, 3_D), 4.99-4.76 (m, 7H, H-1_E, 4_D, 1_D, 4 OCH₂), 4.72-4.58 (m, 6H, H-1_C, 5 OCH₂), 4.50 (d, 1H, J = 11.5 Hz, OCH₂), 4.41 (d, 1H, J = 11.0 Hz, OCH₂), 4.37 (d, 1H, J = 12.1 Hz, OCH₂), 4.32-4.20 (m, 5H, H-3_B, 2_A, 3 OCH₂), 4.16-3.83 (m, 10H, H-6a_D, 2_B, 3_E, 5_E, 3C, 6bD, 5B, 2D, 2 OCH2), 3.80-3.48 (m, 8H, H-5C, 3A, 5A, 2C, 4E, 2E, 4B, 4C), 3.42 (m, 2H, H-5_D, 6a_E), 3.30 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.26 (m, 2H, H-4_A, 6b_E), 2.01, 2.00, 1.83 (3s, 9H, C(=O)CH₃), 1.32 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.0$ Hz, H-6_B), 1.20 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 5.9$ Hz, H-6_C), and 1.16 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.0$ Hz, H-6_A); ¹³C NMR: δ 170.7, 170.4, 169.3 (3C, O(C=O)), 161.6 (NC(=O)), 138.7-127.5 (Ph), 117.4, 116.5 (CH=CH₂), 100.5 (bs, C- 1_{B} , $J_{C,H} = 173$ Hz), 100.0 (C- 1_{D} , $J_{C,H} = 164$ Hz), 99.7 (C- 1_{A} , $J_{C,H} = 171$ Hz), 98.6 (C- $1_{C, J_{C,H}} = 168 \text{ Hz}$, 93.3 (C- $1_{E, J_{C,H}} = 172 \text{ Hz}$), 92.3 (CC 1_{3}), 82.1(C- 3_{E}), 80.9 (C- 4_{A}), 80.4 (C-4_C), 79.8 (bs, C-4_B), 79.5 (C-3_A), 79.2 (bs, C-3_C), 78.4 (C-2_E), 77.6 (2C, C-2_C, 4_E), 75.7, 75.4, 75.3 (3C, 3 OCH₂), 75.0 (C-2_A), 74.9 (OCH₂), 74.2 (C-3_B), 74.1 (OCH₂), 73.7 (C-2_B), 73.2, 72.6, 72.3, 72.2 (4C, 4 OCH₂), 72.1 (bs, C-5_D), 72.0 (C-3_D), 70.1 (C-5_E), 68.8 (C-5_B), 68.7 (C-5_A), 68.2 (C-4_D), 68.0 (C-6_E), 68.0 (C-5_C), 61.8 (C-6_D), 56.1 (C-2_D), 54.6 (OCH₃), 20.6, 20.5 (3C, C(=O)CH₃), 18.2 (C-6_C), and 17.9 (2C, C-6_A, 6_B); ESMS for C₉₄H₁₁₀Cl₃NO₂₆ (M, 1773.6) m/z 1774.7 [M+H]⁺.

Anal. Calcd for C₉₄H₁₁₀Cl₃NO₂₆: C, 63.56; H, 6.24; N, 0.79%. Found: C, 63.51; H, 6.40; 0.74%.

(3,4,6-Tri-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido-β-D-gluco-Methyl pyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ - α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ -[(2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$]- $(4 \cdot O \cdot benzyl-\alpha - L \cdot rhamnopyranosyl)-<math>(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -2,4di-O-benzyl-α-L-rhamnopyranoside (29). Compound 28 (2.19 g, 1.23 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (20 mL). The solution was degassed and placed under Ar. 1,5-Cyclooctadiene-bis(methyldiphenylphosphine)iridium hexafluorophosphate (50 mg, 59 µmol) was added, and the solution was degassed again. The catalyst was activated by passing over a stream of hydrogen until the solution had turned yellow (ca. 3 min). The reaction mixture was degassed and stirred under an Ar atmosphere for 17 h, then concentrated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in acetone (54 mL), then water (6 mL), mercuric bromide (755 mg, 2.09 mmol), and mercuric oxide (536 mg, 2.49 mmol), were added successively. The mixture, protected from light, was stirred at rt for 3 h, and acetone was evaporated. The resulting suspension was taken up in CH₂Cl₂, washed twice with 50% aq KI, water and satd aq NaCl, dried and concentrated. Purification of the crude material was effected by silica gel column chromatography (solvent A, 80:20) to furnish diol 29 (1.64 g, 79%) as a colourless foam: $[\alpha]_D$ -8° (c 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ 7.45-7.06 (m, 35H, Ph), 6.96 (d, 1H, $J_{NH,2} = 8.5$ Hz, NH), 5.30 (bs, 1H, H-1_B), 5.10 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 5.06 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 6.0$ Hz, H-1_E), 5.00-4.92 (m, 4H, H-3_D, 4_D, 2 OCH₂), 4.87-4.67 (m, 6H, 6 OCH₂), 4.60 (m, 2H, H-1_C, OCH₂), 4.51 (d, 1H, J = 11.5 Hz, OCH₂), 4.43 (d, 2H, H-1_D, OCH₂), 4.41 (d, 1H, J = 11.3 Hz, OCH₂), 4.39 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz, OCH_2), 4.28 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.4$, $J_{2,3} = 2.3$ Hz, H-3_B), 4.17-3.99 (m, 8H, H-3_E, 2_B, 2_A, 6a_D, 5_E, 3_C, 2_D, OCH₂), 3.95-3.85 (m, 3H, H-3_A, 6b_D, 5_B), 3.75 (m, 2H, H-5_A, 4_E), 3.67 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 2.0$ Hz, H-2_C), 3.62 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 9.6$ Hz, H-2_E), 3.60-3.52 (m, 3H, H-5_C, 4_C, 4_B), 3.44 (m, 2H, H-6a_E, 4_A), 3.35 (m, 1H, H-5_D), 3.29 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.27 (bd, 1H, H-6b_E), 2.41 (bd, 1H, OH-3_A), 2.18 (m, 1H, OH-4_A), 2.02, 2.01, 1.83 (3s, 9H, C(=O)CH₃), 1.32 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.0$ Hz, H-6_B), 1.20 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 5.9$ Hz, H- $6_{\rm C}$), and 1.16 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 6.1$ Hz, H- $6_{\rm A}$); ¹³C NMR: δ 170.9, 170.4, 169.2 (3C, O(C=O)), 161.9 (NC(=O)), 138.6-127.4 (Ph), 101.3 (C-1_D), 100.0 (bs, C-1_B), 100.0 (C-1_A), 98.7 (C-1_C), 92.9 (C-1_E), 92.3 (CCl₃), 81.9 (C-3_E), 80.6 (C-4_C), 79.8 (bs, C-4_B), 78.7 (2C, C-3_C, 2_E), 78.3 (C-2_A), 77.7 (C-4_E), 77.3 (C-2_C), 75.9, 75.4, 75.2, 74.9 (4C, 4 OCH₂), 74.7 (C-2_B), 73.7 (C-3_B), 73.2 (2C, C-4_A, OCH₂), 72.6 (OCH₂), 72.3 (C-3_D),

72.1 (OCH₂), 72.0 (2C, C-3_A, 5_D), 70.1 (C-5_E), 68.7 (2C, C-5_A, 5_B), 68.0 (2C, C-6_E, 5_C), 67.9 (C-4_D), 61.6 (C-6_D), 55.8 (C-2_D), 54.6 (OCH₃), 20.6, 20.5 (3C, C(=O)CH₃), 18.3 (C-6_B), 17.9 (C-6_C), and 17.3 (C-6_A); FABMS for C₈₈H₁₀₂Cl₃NO₂₆ (M, 1693.6) m/z 1716.2 [M+Na]⁺.

Anal. Calcd for C₈₈H₁₀₂Cl₃NO₂₆: C, 62.32; H, 6.06; N, 0.83%. Found: C, 62.38; H, 6.16; N, 0.71%.

Methyl (2-Deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido- β -D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ - α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ -[(2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$]- $(4-O-benzy)-\alpha-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-<math>(1 \rightarrow 3)-2,4-di-O-benzy)-\alpha-L$ rhamnopyranoside (30). A solution of diol 29 (1.32 g, 0.78 mmol) in a mixture of methanol:CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL, 4:1) was treated with 1N methanolic sodium methoxide, as described for the preparation of compound 23. Column chromatography of the residue (solvent A, 96:4) gave 30 (1.16 g, 95%) as a colourless foam: $[\alpha]_D - 22^\circ$ (c 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ 7.75 (d, 1H, J_{NH.2} = 4.6 Hz, NH), 7.41-7.00 (m, 35H, Ph), 5.70 (bs, 1H, H-1_B), 5.15 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 3.1$ Hz, H-1_E), 5.10 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 5.04-4.83 (m, 5H, 5 OCH₂), 4.71 (m, 2H, OCH₂, H-1_D), 4.74-4.53 (m, 5H, 4 OCH₂, H-1_C), 4.38 (m, 2H, OCH₂), 4.33 (bd, 1H, H-3_A), 4.31 (bs, 1H, H-2_A), 4.12-3.99 (m, 5H, OCH₂, H-3_E, 2_B, 3_C, 5_E), 3.93-3.82 (m, 3H, H-5_A, 3_B, 6a_D), 3.72-3.58 (m, 8H, H-4_E, 2_C, 3_D, 4_A, 5_C, 6b_D, 4_C, 2_E), 3.54 (m, 1H, H-2_D), 3.47 (m, 4H, H-4_B, 5_D, 5_B, 6a_E), 3.29 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.25 (m, 2H, H-6b_E, 4_D), 1.35 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.0$ Hz, H-6_A), 1.19 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 5.6$ Hz, H- $6_{\rm C}$), and 1.06 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 5.1$ Hz, H- $6_{\rm B}$); ¹³C NMR: δ 164.4 (NC(=O)), 139.0-127.4 (Ph), 102.1 (C-1_D), 101.1 (C-1_A), 98.7 (C-1_C), 98.2 (C-1_B), 92.6 (C-1_E), 92.4 (CCl₃), 81.7 (C-3_E), 80.3 (C-4_C), 79.5 (C-4_A), 79.4 (C-2_B), 78.6 (C-3_C), 78.2 (C-4_E), 77.7 (C-2_E), 77.3 (C-2_C), 76.0 (2C, OCH₂, 3_D), 75.7 (C-4_B), 74.9, 74.8, 74.7 (3C, OCH₂), 74.5 (C-3_A), 73.3 (C-5_B*), 73.2, 73.0, 72.7 (3C, OCH₂), 71.9 (C-4_D), 71.3 (C-3_B), 69.9 (C- 5_{E}), 69.4 (C- 5_{A}), 68.7 (C- 5_{D} *), 68.1 (C- 2_{A}), 68.0 (2C, C- 6_{E} , 5_{C}), 62.0 (C- 6_{D}), 59.1 (C-2_D), 54.7 (OCH₃), 18.1 (C-6_A), 17.8 (C-6_C), and 17.4 (C-6_B); FABMS for C82H96Cl3NO23 (M, 1567.5) m/z 1590.2 [M+K]+.

Anal. Calcd for C₈₂H₉₆Cl₃NO₂₃: C, 62.73; H, 6.16. N, 0.89%. Found: C, 62.65; H, 6.10, N, 0.72%.

Methyl (2-Acetamido-2-deoxy- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)]- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (3). A suspension of 10% Pd-C catalyst (500 mg) in a 4:1 mixture of methanol and acetic acid (25 mL) containing the pentaol 30 (546 mg, 0.35 mmol) was stirred at rt for 48 h under a hydrogen atmosphere. The suspension was filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated by repeated coevaporation with methanol and cyclohexane. Methanol (15 mL), Et₃N (500 µL), and Pd-C catalyst (200 mg) were added, and the

suspension was stirred at rt for 24 h. Only one product could be detected (solvent H, 7:1:2), and the suspension was filtered over a bed of Celite. Volatiles were evaporated and the residue was purified by reverse-phase chromatography (solvent G, gradient) to give the target pentasaccharide 3 (216 mg, 74%) after lyophilisation. Compound 3, isolated as an amorphous solid, had $[\alpha]_D + 1^\circ$ (c 1.0, methanol); ¹H NMR (D₂O): δ 5.25 (bs, 1H, H-1_B), 5.11 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 5.09 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 3.8$ Hz, H-1_E), 4.72 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 8.3$ Hz, H- 1_D), 4.66 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.4$ Hz, H- 1_C), 4.27 (bd, 1H, H- 2_A), 4.14 (bd, 1H, H- 2_B), 4.02-3.81 (m, 6H, H-3B, 2C, 5E, 3A, 5B, 6aD), 3.79-3.65 (m, 8H, H-6aE, 6bE, 3E, 3C, 2_D, 6b_D, 5_C, 5_A), 3.62-3.51 (m, 4H, H-2_E, 4_B, 4_C, 3_D), 3.50-3.29 (m, 7H, H-5_D, 4_E, 4_D , 4_A , OCH₃), 2.04 (s, 3H, (C=O)CH₃), 1.31 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 5.9$ Hz, H-6_B), 1.29 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 6.0$ Hz, H-6_C), and 1.23 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6_A); ¹³C NMR (D₂O): δ 175.6 (NC(=O)), 103.2 (C-1_D, J_{C,H} = 163 Hz), 101.9 (C-1_A, J_{C,H} = 171 Hz), 101.5 (C- 1_{C} , $J_{C,H} = 171$ Hz), 101.3 (C- 1_{B} , $J_{C,H} = 174$ Hz), 95.1 (C- 1_{E} , $J_{C,H} = 171$ Hz), 79.2 (C-2_A), 77.8 (C-3_C), 76.7 (C-5_D), 75.3 (C-2_B), 74.3 (C-3_D), 74.0 (C-3_B), 73.7 (C-3_E), 73.1 (C-4_A), 72.6 (C-4_C), 72.4 (C-5_E), 71.8 (C-4_B), 71.1 (C-2_E), 70.7 (C-4_D), 70.6 (2C, C-3_A, 2_C), 70.2 (C-4_E), 70.0 (C-5_A), 69.8 (C-5_B), 69.2 (C-5_C), 61.6 (C-6_D), 61.2 (C-6_E), 56.6 (C-2_D), 55.5 (OCH₃), 23.1 (C(=O)CH₃), 17.6 (C-6_B), 17.4 (C-6_C*), and 17.3 (C-6A*); FABMS for C33H57NO23 (M, 835.3) m/z 836.5 [M+H]+, 842.5 [M+Li]+, 858.5 [M+Na]+, 874.5 [M+K]+.

The compound (containing 3 H_2O per mol according to C,H,N analysis) could not be obtained solvent-free.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Dr. A. Namane (Laboratoire de Chimie Structurale des Macromolécules, Institut Pasteur) for performing the electrospray mass spectra. We thank both the MENRT (Programme et Recherche Fondamentale en Microbiologie et Maladies Infectieuses et Parasitaires) and the DGA (contract 99 34 029) for supporting financially part of this work. We are very grateful to the organising committee of the ECCDR1 meeting for providing financial support to one of us (L. M.) who presented this work at the meeting.

REFERENCES

1. Part 3 of the series Synthesis of Ligands Related to the O-specific Polysaccharides of Shigella flexneri Serotype 2a and Shigella flexneri Serotype 5a. For part 2 see ref. 12.

- 2. D. A. R. Simmons, Bacteriol. Reviews, 35, 117 (1971).
- 3. A. A. Lindberg, A. Karnell, and A. Weintraub, *Rev. Infect. Diseases*, 13, S279 (1991).
- 4. L. Kenne, B. Lindberg, K. Petersson, and E. Romanowska, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 56, 363 (1977).
- 5. L. Kenne, B. Lindberg, K. Petersson, E. Katzenellenbogen, and E. Romanowska, *Eur. J. Biochem.*, **76**, 327 (1977).
- 6. L. Kenne, B. Lindberg, K. Petersson, E. Katzenellenbogen, and E. Romanowska, *Eur. J. Biochem.*, 91, 279 (1978).
- 7. D. R. Bundle, M. A. J. Gidney, S. Josephson, and H.-P. Wessel, Am. Chem. Soc. Symp. Ser., 49 (1983).
- 8. B. M. Pinto, K. B. Reimer, D. G. Morissette, and D. R. Bundle, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 293 (1990).
- 9. N. K. Kochetkov, N. E. Byramova, Y. E. Tsvetkov, and L. V. Backinovsky, Tetrahedron, 41, 3363 (1985).
- L. V. Bakinovskii, A. R. Gomtsyan, N. E. Bairamova, N. K. Kochetkov, and N. F. Yankina, *Bioorg. Khim.*, 11, 1562 (1985).
- 11. L. A. Mulard and J. Ughetto-Monfrin, J. Carbohydr. Chem., 18, 721 (1999).
- 12. L. A. Mulard and J. Ughetto-Monfrin, J. Carbohydr. Chem., 19, 000 (2000).
- 13. H. P. Wessel, Tetrahedron Lett., 31, 6863 (1990).
- 14. T. Ziegler, E. Eckhardt, and G. Pantkowski, J. Carbohydr. Chem., 13, 81 (1994).
- 15. G. H. Posner and S. R. Haines, Tetrahedron Lett., 26, 5 (1985).
- 16. V. Pavliak, P. Kovac, and C. P. J. Glaudemans, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 229, 103 (1992).
- 17. M. Kreuzer and J. Thiem, Carbohydr. Res., 149, 347 (1986).
- 18. K. Bock and C. Pedersen, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 293 (1974).
- 19. K. Bock and C. Pedersen, Acta Chem. Scand. Ser. B, 29, 258 (1975).
- 20. R. Gigg, S. Payne, and R. Conant, J. Carbohydr. Chem., 2, 207 (1983).
- 21. B. M. Pinto, D. G. Morissette, and D. R. Bundle, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 9 (1987).
- 22. J. Josephson and D. R. Bundle, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 297 (1980).
- 23. L. H. B. Baptistelle, J. F. d. Santos, K. C. Ballabio, and A. J. Marsaioli, Synthesis, 436 (1989).
- 24. T. Nielson and E. S. Werstiuk, Can. J. Chem., 49, 493 (1971).
- 25. N. E. Byramova, M. V. Ovchinnikov, L. V. Bachinowsky, and N. K. Kochetkov, Carbohydr. Res., 124, C8 (1983).
- 26. E. Petrakova and J. Schraml, Coll. Czech. Chem. Commun., 48, 877 (1983).
- 27. V. Pozsgay and B. Coxon, Carbohydr. Res., 257, 189 (1994).
- 28. V. Pozsgay and A. Neszmélyi, Carbohydr. Res., 85, 143 (1980).
- 29. G. Zemplén, A. Gerecs, and J. Hadacsy, Ber., 69, 1827 (1936).
- 30. I. Kitagawa, N. I. Baek, K. Ohashi, M. Sakagami, M. Yoshikawa, and H. Shibuya, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 37, 1131 (1989).
- 31. G. Blatter, J.-M. Beau, and J.-C. Jacquinet, Carbohydr. Res., 260, 189 (1994).
- 32. J. J. Oltvoort, C. A. A. van Boeckel, J. H. van der Koning, and J. van Boom, Synthesis, 305 (1981).
- 33. D. G. Davis and A. Bax, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 107, 7197 (1995).
- 34. W. Rosenbrook, D. A. Riley, and P. A. Lartey, Tetrahedron Lett., 26, 3 (1985).
- 35. V. Poszgay, Carbohydr. Res., 69, 284 (1979).